IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 2:17 CB 00114 BIG DSC

	3:17-CR-00114-RJC-DSC	
USA)	
)	
)	
V.)	
)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
ROBERT M. BOSTON (1))	
)	
)	
)	

THIS MATTER is before the Court upon the defendant's pro se Motion for Release Pending Appeal, (Doc. No. 130), and the government's response in opposition, (Doc. No. 134).

Following the defendant's conviction by jury verdict, the Court allowed him to remain on conditions of release pending sentencing. At the sentencing hearing, the Court found the defendant was in violation of the conditions of release based on his admitted daily, excessive drinking, representing a danger to himself and to the community. (Compare Doc. No. 7: Order at 2 (prohibiting excessive use of alcohol) with Doc. No. 100: Presentence Report at ¶ 340). Therefore, the Court remanded the defendant to the custody of the United States Marshals Service.

To remain released pending appeal, a defendant must show by clear and convincing evidence that he is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community. 18 U.S.C. § 3143(b)(1)(A). The defendant also must show that the appeal is not for the purpose of delay and raises a substantial question of law or fact likely to result in reversal, a new trial, a sentence without imprisonment, or a sentence reduced below the time necessary for an appeal. 18 U.S.C. § 3143(b)(1)(B). Considering the issues noted by the defendant his motion

and the entire record of this case, the Court finds that he has failed to establish by clear and convincing evidence that he is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community. Additionally, he has not shown a substantial question of law or fact is likely to result in reversal or reduced sentence on appeal.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the defendant's motion (Doc. No. 130) is **DENIED**.

Signed: October 26, 2018

Robert J. Conrad, Jr.

United States District Judge